

On the Rothenberg–Steenrod spectral sequence for the mod 2 cohomology of classifying spaces of spinor groups

MASAKI KAMEKO
MAMORU MIMURA

We compute the cotorsion product of the mod 2 cohomology of spinor group $\text{spin}(n)$, which is the E_2 -term of the Rothenberg–Steenrod spectral sequence for the mod 2 cohomology of the classifying space of the spinor group $\text{spin}(n)$. As a consequence of this computation, we show the non-collapsing of the Rothenberg–Steenrod spectral sequence for $n \geq 17$.

55R40; 55T99

1 Introduction

Let n be a fixed integer greater than or equal to 9. In [9], Quillen computed the mod 2 cohomology of the classifying space $B\text{Spin}(n)$ using the Leray–Serre spectral sequence associated with the fiber bundle $B\pi: B\text{Spin}(n) \rightarrow BSO(n)$. In terms of the Hurwitz–Radon number h given by

$$\begin{aligned} 4\ell & \text{ if } n = 8\ell + 1, \\ 4\ell + 1 & \text{ if } n = 8\ell + 2, \\ 4\ell + 2 & \text{ if } n = 8\ell + 3 \text{ or } 8\ell + 4, \\ 4\ell + 3 & \text{ if } n = 8\ell + 5, 8\ell + 6, 8\ell + 7 \text{ or } 8\ell + 8, \end{aligned}$$

Quillen’s result is stated as follows:

Theorem 1.1 (Quillen) *As a graded $\mathbb{F}_2$ -algebra, we have*

$$H^*(B\text{Spin}(n); \mathbb{F}_2) = \mathbb{F}_2[w_2, \dots, w_n]/J \otimes \mathbb{F}_2[z],$$

where $J = (v_0, \dots, v_{h-1})$, $v_0 = w_2$, $v_k = \text{Sq}^{2^{k-1}} \cdots \text{Sq}^1 w_2$ for $1 \leq k \leq h-1$ and $\deg z = 2^h$. Moreover, $v_0, \dots, v_{h-1}$ is a regular sequence and the Poincaré series is given by

$$\prod_{k=0}^{h-1} (1 - t^{2^k+1}) \left/ \left\{ (1 - t^{2^h}) \prod_{k=2}^n (1 - t^k) \right\} \right.$$

On the other hand, the Rothenberg–Steenrod spectral sequence can often be the most powerful tool for computing the mod p cohomology of the classifying space BG from the mod p cohomology of the underlying connected compact Lie group G . Its E_2 -term is given by the cotorsion product

$$\mathrm{Cotor}_{H^*(G; \mathbb{F}_p)}(\mathbb{F}_p, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

and it converges to the mod p cohomology of the classifying space BG . Recently, we proved in [3] the non-degeneracy of the Rothenberg–Steenrod spectral sequence for the mod 3 cohomology of the classifying space BE_8 of the exceptional Lie group E_8 . Until this paper all computational results in literature indicated that the Rothenberg–Steenrod spectral sequence collapses at the E_2 -level. Although it is not in literature, it has been a folklore to experts for a long time that the Rothenberg–Steenrod spectral sequence for the mod 2 cohomology of the classifying space $B\mathrm{Spin}(n)$ does not collapse at the E_2 -level for some n . In the case $n = 2^{s-1} + 1$, for example, it is easy to compute the cotorsion product. Since the mod 2 cohomology of $\mathrm{Spin}(2^{s-1} + 1)$ is a primitively generated Hopf algebra, its cotorsion product is a polynomial algebra $\mathbb{F}_2[w_k] \otimes \mathbb{F}_2[z']$ where $4 \leq k \leq 2^{s-1}$, $k \neq 2^\ell + 1$ ($\ell = 1, \dots, s-2$) and $\deg z' = 2^s$. However, the mod 2 cohomology of $B\mathrm{Spin}(2^{s-1} + 1)$ is not a polynomial algebra for $s \geq 5$. So, comparing their Poincaré series, it is easy to deduce that the Rothenberg–Steenrod spectral sequence does not collapse at the E_2 -level. In this paper, through the computation of the cotorsion product

$$\mathrm{Cotor}_{H^*(\mathrm{Spin}(n); \mathbb{F}_2)}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$$

for all $n \geq 9$, we give a proof for the non-degeneracy of the Rothenberg–Steenrod spectral sequence for all $n \geq 17$.

Let s be an integer such that

$$2^{s-1} < n \leq 2^s.$$

In Section 2, we define an integer h' for $n \geq 9$. Using the integers s and h' , our main result is stated as follows:

Theorem 1.2 *Let $A = H^*(\mathrm{Spin}(n); \mathbb{F}_2)$. Suppose that $n \geq 9$. Then, we have an isomorphism of graded $\mathbb{F}_2$ -algebras*

$$\mathrm{Cotor}_A(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2) = \mathbb{F}_2[w_2, \dots, w_n]/J' \otimes \mathbb{F}_2[z'],$$

where $J' = (v_0, \dots, v_{h'-1})$, $v_0 = w_2$,

$$v_k = \underbrace{\mathrm{Sq}^0 \cdots \mathrm{Sq}^0}_{k\text{-times}} v_0 \quad (k = 1, \dots, s-1),$$

$$v_s = \sum_{i+j=2^{s-1}} w_{2i+1} w_{2j},$$

and

$$v_{s+k} = \mathrm{Sq}^{2^{k-1}} \cdots \mathrm{Sq}^1 v_s \quad (k \geq 1).$$

Moreover, the sequence $v_0, \dots, v_{h'-1}$ is a regular sequence and the Poincaré series of the cotorsion product is given by

$$\prod_{k=0}^{h'-1} (1 - t^{2^k+1}) \bigg/ \left\{ (1 - t^{2^{h'}}) \prod_{k=2}^n (1 - t^k) \right\}.$$

A caution is called for; the action of Steenrod squares in [Theorem 1.2](#) is the one defined for the cotorsion product. It is not the one induced by the action of Steenrod squares on $A = H^*(\mathrm{Spin}(n); \mathbb{F}_2)$. In particular, Sq^0 is not the identity homomorphism. We recall the action of Steenrod square on the cotorsion product in [Section 4](#). After defining the integer h' , we prove the following proposition in [Section 2](#).

Proposition 1.3 For $9 \leq n \leq 16$, we have $h' = h$. For $n \geq 17$, we have $h' < h$.

Thus, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4 For $n \leq 16$, the Rothenberg–Steenrod spectral sequence for the mod 2 cohomology $H^*(B\mathrm{Spin}(n); \mathbb{F}_2)$ collapses at the E_2 -level. For $n \geq 17$, the Rothenberg–Steenrod spectral sequence for the mod 2 cohomology $H^*(B\mathrm{Spin}(n); \mathbb{F}_2)$ does not collapse at the E_2 -level.

The cotorsion products appear in other settings. There exist spectral sequences converging to the mod p cohomology of classifying spaces of loop groups as well as to the one of classifying spaces of finite Chevalley groups. Both spectral sequences have the same E_2 -term:

$$\mathrm{Cotor}_{H^*(G; \mathbb{F}_p)}(\mathbb{F}_p, H^*(G; \mathbb{F}_p)).$$

In the case $G = \mathrm{Spin}(10)$, $p = 2$, the computation of the above cotorsion product is done in Kuribayashi, Mimura and Nishimoto [\[4\]](#) using the twisted tensor product. However, it seems to be not so easy to carry out their computation for $n > 10$. In this paper, we use the change-of-rings spectral sequence and Steenrod squares as our tools. We hope that the computation done in this paper can shed some light on the computation of the cotorsion products

$$\mathrm{Cotor}_{H^*(G; \mathbb{F}_p)}(\mathbb{F}_p, H^*(G; \mathbb{F}_p)).$$

In [Section 2](#), we define integers $s, t, m, m', \varepsilon, h'$ and sets C, D, E and prove some elementary properties of these integers and sets as well as [Proposition 1.3](#). We use these integers and sets in order to describe generators and relations of cotorsion products in [Section 5](#). In [Section 3](#), we give a naive criterion for a sequence in a polynomial ring over a field to be a regular sequence in terms of Gröbner bases. In [Section 4](#), we recall some results on the Steenrod squares acting on cotorsion products and the change-of-rings spectral sequence. In [Section 5](#), we prove [Theorem 1.2](#) using the results in [Sections 3](#) and [4](#).

We thank W Singer for showing us the manuscript of his book [\[11\]](#). We also thank the referee for his/her careful reading of the manuscript. The first named author was partially supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 19540105 when preparing for the revised version of this paper.

2 Integers s, t, h'

In this section, for a given integer $n \geq 9$, we define integers $s, t, m, m', \varepsilon, h'$ and sets C, D, E and prove some elementary properties of these integers and sets. We use these integers, sets and their properties in [Section 5](#) in order to describe generators and relations, in particular v_{s+k} in [Theorem 1.2](#), of cotorsion products. We do not use the results in this section until [Section 5](#). Throughout this section, we assume that n is a fixed integer greater than or equal to 9.

To begin with, we define integers s, t, m, m' and ε . For a positive integer k , let $\alpha(k)$ be the number of 1's in the binary expansion of k . Let s be an integer such that

$$2^{s-1} < n \leq 2^s.$$

For $n < 2^s - 2$, let t be an integer such that

$$2^s - 2^t - 1 \leq n < 2^s - 2^{t-1} - 1,$$

and for $n = 2^s, 2^s - 1, 2^s - 2$, let $t = 1$.

Let us consider a set of integers

$$E = \{k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 2 \leq k \leq n, \alpha(k-1) \geq 2\},$$

and its subset

$$D = \{k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid k \leq n, 2^s - k + 1 \leq n, \alpha(k-1) \geq 2, \alpha(2^s - k) \geq 2\}.$$

It is easy to verify the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1 *The set D is empty if and only if $n = 2^{s-1} + 1$.*

Proof Since $n \geq 9$, we may assume that $s \geq 4$. Let $k = 2^{s-1} + 2$. Then, we have $\alpha(k-1) = 2$ and $\alpha(2^s - k) = s - 2 \geq 2$. Thus, if $n \geq 2^{s-1} + 2$, we have $k \in D$. If $n = 2^{s-1} + 1$ and $k' \in D$, then $2^s - (2^{s-1} + 1) + 1 \leq k' \leq 2^{s-1} + 1$. So, we have $k' = 2^{s-1}$ or $2^{s-1} + 1$. Since $\alpha(2^s - 2^{s-1}) = 1$ and $\alpha((2^{s-1} + 1) - 1) = 1$, $2^{s-1}, 2^{s-1} + 1 \notin D$. Therefore, D is empty. $\square$

When D is not empty, let m be the greatest integer in D , put

$$m' = 2^{s-t}(2^s - m) + 1,$$

and let us define ε as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &= 0 & \text{if } m' > n, \\ \varepsilon &= 1 & \text{if } m' \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

We also define h' as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} h' &= s & \text{if } D = \emptyset, \\ h' &= 2s - t + \varepsilon & \text{if } D \neq \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we prove [Proposition 1.3](#) by computing h' for $9 \leq n \leq 32$ and by showing that the inequality $h' < h$ holds for $n \geq 33$.

Proof of Proposition 1.3 For $n \leq 32$, by direct computation, we have the following tables.

n	s	t	m	m'	ε	h'	ℓ	h	n	s	t	m	m'	ε	h'	ℓ	h
9	4	3	—	—	—	4	1	4	17	5	4	—	—	—	5	2	8
10	4	3	10	13	0	5	1	5	18	5	4	18	29	0	6	2	9
11	4	2	11	21	0	6	1	6	19	5	4	19	27	0	6	2	10
12	4	2	11	21	0	6	1	6	20	5	4	20	25	0	6	2	10
13	4	1	13	25	0	7	1	7	21	5	4	21	23	0	6	2	11
14	4	1	13	25	0	7	1	7	22	5	4	22	21	1	7	2	11
15	4	1	13	25	0	7	1	7	23	5	3	23	37	0	7	2	11
16	4	1	13	25	0	7	1	7	24	5	3	23	37	0	7	2	11
									25	5	3	25	29	0	7	3	12
									26	5	3	26	25	1	8	3	13
									27	5	2	27	41	0	8	3	14
									28	5	2	27	41	0	8	3	14
									29	5	1	29	49	0	9	3	15
									30	5	1	29	49	0	9	3	15
									31	5	1	29	49	0	9	3	15
									32	5	1	29	49	0	9	3	15

Next, we deal with the case $n \geq 33$. In this case, we may assume that $s \geq 6$. By the definition of t , we have $t \geq 1$. So, we have $\max\{2s - t + \varepsilon, s\} \leq 2s$. Therefore, it suffices to show the inequality $2s < h$. Assume that $n = 8\ell + r$ where $1 \leq r \leq 8$. Then, by the definition of s , we have

$$2^{s-1} < 8\ell + r \leq 8\ell + 8.$$

Hence, we have

$$2^{s-2} < 4\ell + 4.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$h \geq 4\ell > 2^{s-2} - 4 \geq 2s$$

for $s \geq 6$ as required. $\square$

We prove some elementary properties of D , say Propositions 2.2 and 2.3, which we need in the proof of Proposition 5.1.

Proposition 2.2 *Suppose that D is not empty. If $k \in D$, then $2^s - k + 1 \in D$.*

Proof It is easy to see that

- (1) $2^s - k + 1 \leq n$,
- (2) $2^s - (2^s - k + 1) + 1 = k \leq n$,
- (3) $\alpha((2^s - k + 1) - 1) = \alpha(2^s - k) \geq 2$,
- (4) $\alpha(2^s - (2^s - k + 1)) = \alpha(k - 1) \geq 2$. $\square$

Proposition 2.3 *Suppose that D is not empty and $k \in D$. Then:*

- (1) $2^{s-t+1}(k-1) + 1 > n$.
- (2) *If $\varepsilon = 0$, then $2^{s-t}(k-1) + 1 > n$.*

Proof First, we prove (1). Since $2^s - k + 1$ is also in D , we have

$$2^s - n \leq k - 1.$$

Hence, we have

$$2^{s-t+1}(k-1) + 1 > 2^s + 2^{s-t+1} + 1 > n.$$

Next, we prove (2). Since $2^s - k + 1$ is also in D , by the definition of m , we have

$$2^s - k + 1 \leq m.$$

Thus, we have

$$2^s - m \leq k - 1.$$

Since $\varepsilon = 0$, we have

$$2^{s-t}(k-1) + 1 \geq 2^{s-t}(2^s - m) + 1 = m' > n. \quad \square$$

It is clear that the number of integers in E is $n - s - 1$. For $k = 0, \dots, s - t - 1$, we define $\sigma(k)$ by

$$\sigma(k) = 2^s - 2^{s-1-k} - 1.$$

Let $C_0 = \{\sigma(k) \mid k = 0, \dots, s - t - 1\}$.

Then, it is easy to see that C_0 is a subset of E . For $k = s - t$, we define $\sigma(k)$ to be m if $\varepsilon = 1$. For $k = s - t + \varepsilon, \dots, n - s - 2$, we define $\sigma(k)$ as follows:

$$\sigma(k) \in \{a \in E \mid a \notin C_0, a \neq m \text{ if } \varepsilon = 1\},$$

and then we have

$$\sigma(s - t + \varepsilon) < \dots < \sigma(n - s - 2).$$

Let $\tau(k) = 2^{s-1} + 2^k + 1$ for $k = 0, \dots, s - t - 1$. Let $C = C_0 \cup C_1$, where

$$C_1 = \{\tau(k) \mid k = 0, \dots, s - t - 1\}.$$

What we need in the proof of [Proposition 5.2](#) in [Section 5](#) is the following [Propositions 2.4](#) and [2.5](#). For the rest of this section, we assume that $n \geq 18$, $n \neq 2^{s-1} + 1$ and $s \geq 5$.

Proposition 2.4 *Suppose that $n \geq 18$ and $n \neq 2^{s-1} + 1$. Then, the integers $\sigma(k)$, $\tau(k)$ ($k = 0, \dots, s - t - 1$) are distinct from each other.*

Proof If $n \geq 18$, then $s \geq 5$, so that $s - 1 > 3$. Since $(s - t)$ integers $\sigma(k)$ ($k = 0, \dots, s - t - 1$) in C_0 are distinct from each other, since $(s - t)$ integers $\tau(k)$ ($k = 0, \dots, s - t - 1$) in C_1 are also distinct from each other, and since $\alpha(\sigma(k)) = s - 1$, $\alpha(\tau(k)) \leq 3$, we have that $C_0 \cap C_1 = \emptyset$ and that $(2s - 2t)$ integers $\sigma(k)$, $\tau(k')$ are distinct from each other where $k, k' \in \{0, \dots, s - t - 1\}$. $\square$

Proposition 2.5 *Suppose that $n \geq 18$ and $n \neq 2^{s-1} + 1$. If $\varepsilon = 1$, then $m, m' \notin C$.*

The rest of this section is devoted to proving [Proposition 2.5](#) above. Firstly, we prove that if $n \geq 18$ and if $n \in C$, then we have $\varepsilon = 0$.

Proposition 2.6 *Suppose that $n \geq 18$ and $n \neq 2^{s-1} + 1$. If $\varepsilon = 1$, then we have $m = n$ and $2^{t-1} + 1 < 2^s - n \leq 2^t + 1$.*

Proof We prove this proposition by showing that if $m \neq n$, then we have $\varepsilon = 0$. First, we deal with the case $n = 2^s$, $2^s - 1$ or $2^s - 2$. In this case, $t = 1$, $m = 2^s - 3$, $m' = 2^{s-1} \cdot 3 + 1 > 2^s + 1 > n$. Thus, we have $\varepsilon = 0$. So, without loss of generality, we may assume that $2^{s-1} + 2 \leq n \leq 2^s - 3$ and so we have

$$2^{t-1} + 1 < 2^s - n \leq 2^t + 1.$$

Suppose that $m \neq n$. Then, $\alpha(n-1) = 1$ or $\alpha(2^s - n) = 1$. The equality $\alpha(n-1) = 1$ holds if and only if $n = 2^{s-1} + 1$. Hence, $\alpha(2^s - n) = 1$. So we have $2^s - n = 2^t$, $m = 2^s - 2^t - 1$ and

$$m' = 2^{s-t}(2^t + 1) + 1 = 2^s + 2^{s-t} + 1 > n.$$

Hence, by definition, we have $\varepsilon = 0$. □

Proof of Proposition 2.5 By Proposition 2.6, we have $m = n$,

$$m' = 2^{s-t}(2^s - n) + 1$$

and

$$2^{t-1} + 1 < 2^s - n \leq 2^t + 1.$$

If $m \in C$ or if $m' \in C$, then one of the following conditions holds:

- (1) $n = 2^s - 2^{s-1-k} - 1$,
- (2) $n = 2^{s-1} + 2^k + 1$,
- (3) $2^{s-t}(2^s - n) + 1 = 2^s - 2^{s-1-k} - 1$,
- (4) $2^{s-t}(2^s - n) + 1 = 2^{s-1} + 2^k + 1$,

where $0 \leq k \leq s - t - 1$. We prove that it is not the case.

Case (1) We have $2^s - n = 2^{s-1-k} + 1$. So, we have $t = s - 1 - k$ and

$$m' - n = 2^{s-t}(2^t + 1) + 1 - (2^s - 2^{s-1-k} - 1) > 0.$$

This contradicts the assumption $\varepsilon = 1$.

Case (2) We have $2^s - n = 2^{s-1} - 2^k - 1$. So, one of the following statements holds:

- (a) $t = s - 1$, $k < s - 2$ or
- (b) $t = s - 2$, $k = s - 2$.

If $s - t = 1$ and $k < s - 2$, then $m' = 2^s - 2^{k+1} - 1$ and

$$m' - n = 2^{s-1} - 2^{k+1} - 2^k - 2.$$

If $s - t = 2$ and $k = s - 2$, then we have

$$m' - n = 2^{s-1} - 2^k - 2.$$

In both cases, we have $m' - n > 0$. This contradicts the assumption $\varepsilon = 1$.

Case (3) We have

$$2^s - n = 2^t - 2^{(s-1-k)-(s-t)} - 2^{1-(s-t)}.$$

By the definition of t , we have that $s - t > 0$. Moreover, because of the assumption $k \leq s - t - 1$, we have $s - 1 - k > 0$. Since $2^s - n$ is an integer, we have $s - t = 1$ and $k = 0$. So, we have $2^s - n = 2^{s-2} - 1$. This contradicts the inequality

$$2^{t-1} + 1 < 2^s - n.$$

Case (4) We have

$$2^s - n = 2^{t-1} + 2^{k-(s-t)}.$$

Since $2^s - n$ is an integer, we have $k - (s - t) \geq 0$. This contradicts the assumption $0 \leq k \leq s - t - 1$.

Thus, any of the above four conditions (1), $\dots$, (4) does not hold. Hence, we have the desired result. $\square$

3 Gröbner bases and regular sequences

In this section, we recall the notion of Gröbner bases and regular sequences. Let K be a field and let $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring over K in n variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$.

Firstly, we recall the definition of Gröbner basis and its elementary properties. We refer the reader to text books on Gröbner bases such as Adams and Lousaunau [1]. We assume that R has a fixed term order on the set of monomials of R . A term order is often called a monomial order in literature, see Eisenbud [2] for example. It is a total order on the set of monomials such that for monomials x, y, z :

$$z < xz < yz$$

if $x < y$ and $z \neq 1$. Let f be an element in R . We denote by $\text{lp}(f)$ the leading power, or the leading monomial, of f and by $\text{lt}(f)$ the leading term of f . In the case the coefficient field K is $\mathbb{F}_2$, the leading term and the leading monomial are the same. Let $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_r\}$ be a finite subset of R , where we assume that g_i 's are nonzero and $g_i \neq g_j$ for $i \neq j$.

The subset G is called a Gröbner basis if each polynomial in the ideal $I = (g_1, \dots, g_r)$ has the leading term divisible by the leading term of g_k for some $g_k \in G$. A polynomial

f is said to reduce to zero modulo G if and only if there exist $f_1, \dots, f_s \in R$ and $i_1, \dots, i_s \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ such that

$$f = \sum_{k=1}^s f_k g_{i_k},$$

where a scalar multiple of $\text{lp}(f_1) \text{lp}(g_{i_1})$ is a nonzero term in f , and for $k = 2, \dots, s$, a scalar multiple of $\text{lp}(f_k) \text{lp}(g_{i_k})$ is a nonzero term of

$$\text{lp}\left(f - \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} f_\ell g_{i_\ell}\right).$$

It is clear from the definition of Gröbner basis that when $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_r\}$ is a Gröbner basis, a polynomial in R is in the ideal $(g_1, \dots, g_r)$ if and only if f reduces to zero modulo G .

The following theorem is known as the Buchberger criterion.

Theorem 3.1 (Buchberger) *Let $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_r\}$ be a finite subset of R . Let*

$$S(g_i, g_j) = \frac{\text{lcm}(\text{lp}(g_i), \text{lp}(g_j))}{\text{lt}(g_i)} g_i - \frac{\text{lcm}(\text{lp}(g_i), \text{lp}(g_j))}{\text{lt}(g_j)} g_j,$$

where lcm stands for the least common multiple. The set G is a Gröbner basis if and only if all $S(g_i, g_j)$ ($i \neq j$) reduce to zero modulo G .

Proof See the proof of Theorem 1.7.4 in [1]. □

We also recall the lemma below.

Lemma 3.2 *Let $g_1, g_2 \in R$ and suppose that both are nonzero. Let $d = \gcd(g_1, g_2)$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $\text{lp}(\frac{g_1}{d})$ and $\text{lp}(\frac{g_2}{d})$ are relatively prime;
- (2) $S(g_1, g_2)$ reduces to zero modulo $\{g_1, g_2\}$.

Proof See the proof of Lemma 3.3.1 in [1]. □

As an application of this lemma, by the Buchberger criterion, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.3 *Let $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_r\}$ be a finite set of polynomials in R . Suppose that the leading terms of g_i and g_j are relatively prime for $i \neq j$. Then, the set G is a Gröbner basis.*

Secondly, we recall the definition of a regular sequence. A sequence $g_1, \dots, g_r$ of polynomials in R is called a regular sequence if the multiplication by g_k induces a monomorphism

$$R \xrightarrow{\times g_1} R$$

for $k = 1$ and a monomorphism

$$R/(g_1, \dots, g_{k-1}) \xrightarrow{\times g_k} R/(g_1, \dots, g_{k-1})$$

for $k = 2, \dots, r$. If $g_1, \dots, g_r$ are homogeneous polynomials, then the Poincaré series of $R/(g_1, \dots, g_r)$ is given by

$$\prod_{k=1}^r (1 - t^{\deg g_k}) \bigg/ \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - t^{\deg x_k}) .$$

We need the following lemma in the proof of [Proposition 5.2](#) in [Section 5](#).

Lemma 3.4 *Suppose that $g_1, \dots, g_r$ are polynomials in R such that the leading monomials of g_i and g_j are relatively prime for $i \neq j$. Then, the sequence $g_1, \dots, g_r$ is a regular sequence.*

Proof Since R is an integral domain, it is clear that the multiplication by g_1 induces a monomorphism

$$R \rightarrow R.$$

For $k = 2, \dots, r$, by [Proposition 3.3](#), $\{g_1, \dots, g_{k-1}\}$ is a Gröbner basis for $k = 2, \dots, r$. Suppose that $f \notin (g_1, \dots, g_{k-1})$ and that $g_k f \in (g_1, \dots, g_{k-1})$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the leading term of f is not divisible by $\text{lp}(g_i)$ where $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ and that the leading term $\text{lp}(g_k) \text{lp}(f)$ of $g_k f$ is divisible by some $\text{lp}(g_i)$ where $i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$. Since $\text{lp}(g_i)$ and $\text{lp}(g_k)$ are relatively prime in R , we see that $\text{lp}(f)$ is divisible by $\text{lp}(g_i)$. It is a contradiction. Thus, we have that if $g_k f \in (g_1, \dots, g_{k-1})$, then $f \in (g_1, \dots, g_{k-1})$. $\square$

4 Steenrod squares and the change-of-rings spectral sequence

In this section, we recall some facts on the action of Steenrod squares on cotorsion products and spectral sequences. We refer the reader to Singer's book [11].

Firstly, we recall the action of the Steenrod squares on the cotorsion product $\text{Cotor}_A(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$ for a connected Hopf algebra A over $\mathbb{F}_2$. Let

$$\phi: A \rightarrow A \otimes A$$

be the coproduct of A . Let $\bar{A}$ be the submodule generated by the positive degree elements. We denote by

$$\bar{\phi}: \bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{A} \otimes \bar{A}$$

the reduced coproduct. The cotorsion product $\text{Cotor}_A(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$ is a graded $\mathbb{F}_2$ -algebra generated by elements $[x_1 | \cdots | x_r]$ where we denote by $[x_1 | \cdots | x_r]$ the element represented by $x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_r \in \bar{A} \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{A}$.

Theorem 4.1 below is a variant of Proposition 1.111 in Singer's book [11]. The unstable condition below immediately follows from the definition and the construction of Steenrod squares in [11]. It is also called Steenrod Operation Theorem A1.5.2 in Ravenel [10], which is a re-indexed form of 11.8 of May [5].

Theorem 4.1 *With the notation above, for $p \geq 0$, $k \geq 0$, there exist homomorphisms*

$$\text{Sq}^k: \text{Cotor}_A^p(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow \text{Cotor}_A^{p+k}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$$

satisfying

(1) *the unstable condition:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sq}^0[x] &= [x^2], \\ \text{Sq}^1[x] &= [x|x] = [x]^2, \\ \text{Sq}^k[x] &= 0 \quad \text{for } k \geq 2; \end{aligned}$$

(2) *the Cartan formula:*

$$\text{Sq}^k(xy) = \sum_{i+j=k, i,j \geq 0} (\text{Sq}^i x)(\text{Sq}^j y).$$

Note that $\text{Sq}^0: \text{Cotor}_A^p(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow \text{Cotor}_A^p(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$ is not the identity homomorphism.

Secondly, we recall the action of the Steenrod squares on the change-of-rings spectral sequence. Let us consider an extension of connected Hopf algebras:

$$\Gamma \rightarrow A \rightarrow \Lambda.$$

Then, there exists the change-of-rings spectral sequence

$$\{E_r^{p,q}, d_r: E_r^{p,q} \rightarrow E_r^{p+r, q-r+1}\}$$

with the E_2 -term

$$E_2^{p,q} = \text{Cotor}_\Gamma^p(\mathbb{F}_2, \text{Cotor}_A^q(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}_2)).$$

It converges to the cotorsion product $\text{Cotor}_A(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$ and is a first quadrant cohomology spectral sequence of graded $\mathbb{F}_2$ -algebras.

The following is a combined form of Theorems 2.15 and 2.17 in Singer's book [11].

Theorem 4.2 *With the notation above, for all $p, q \geq 0$, $r \geq 2$, there exist homomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sq}^k: E_r^{p,q} &\rightarrow E_r^{p,q+k} && \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq q, \\ \text{Sq}^k: E_r^{p,q} &\rightarrow E_{r+k-q}^{p+k-q, 2q} && \text{if } q \leq k \leq q+r-2, \\ \text{Sq}^k: E_r^{p,q} &\rightarrow E_{2r-2}^{p+k-q, 2q} && \text{if } q+r-2 \leq k, \end{aligned}$$

such that

(1) if $\alpha \in E_r^{p,q}$, then both $\text{Sq}^k \alpha$ and $\text{Sq}^k d_r \alpha$ survive to E_t , where

$$\begin{aligned} t &= r && \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq q-r+1, \\ t &= 2r+k-q-1 && \text{if } q-r+1 \leq k \leq q, \\ t &= 2r-1 && \text{if } q \leq k; \end{aligned}$$

(2) in E_t , we have

$$d_t(\text{Sq}^k \alpha) = \text{Sq}^k d_r \alpha;$$

(3) at the E_∞ -level, Sq^k is compatible with the action of Sq^k on $\text{Cotor}_A(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$, that is, if we denote by

$$\pi_{p,q}: F^p \text{Cotor}_A^{p+q}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow E_\infty^{p,q}$$

the edge homomorphism, then:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sq}^k \pi_{p,q} &= \pi_{p,q+k} \text{Sq}^k && \text{for } k \leq q \text{ and} \\ \text{Sq}^k \pi_{p,q} &= \pi_{p+k-q, 2q} \text{Sq}^k && \text{for } k \geq q, \end{aligned}$$

where the Sq^k in the right hand-side of the above equalities are the one given in [Theorem 4.1](#).

5 Cotorsion products

We refer the reader to the book of Mimura and Toda [7], Mimura [6] and their references for the cohomology of compact Lie groups. Recall that the mod 2 cohomology of $\text{Spin}(n)$ is given as follows: Let E be the set E defined in Section 2. Let Δ be an algebra generated by x_k with the relation $x_k^2 = x_{2k}$ where $x_k = 0$ if $k + 1 \notin E$. As an algebra over $\mathbb{F}_2$, we have

$$H^*(\text{Spin}(n); \mathbb{F}_2) = \Delta \otimes \Lambda(y_{2^s-1}).$$

The reduced coproduct $\bar{\phi}$ is given by

$$\bar{\phi}(x_k) = 0$$

for $k + 1 \in E$ and

$$\bar{\phi}(y_{2^s-1}) = \sum_{i+j=2^s-1} x_{2i} \otimes x_{2j-1}.$$

In this section, by computing the change-of-rings spectral sequence associated with the extension of Hopf algebras:

$$\Delta \rightarrow H^*(\text{Spin}(n); \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow \Lambda(y_{2^s-1}),$$

we prove Theorem 1.2. The subalgebra Δ is the image of the induced homomorphism

$$\pi^*: H^*(SO(n); \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow H^*(\text{Spin}(n); \mathbb{F}_2).$$

The E_2 -term of the spectral sequence is given by

$$\text{Cotor}_{\Delta}(\mathbb{F}_2, \text{Cotor}_{H^*(\text{Spin}(n); \mathbb{F}_2)}(\Delta, \mathbb{F}_2)).$$

We call this spectral sequence the change-of-rings spectral sequence. As a matter of fact, it is nothing but the change-of-coalgebras spectral sequence in Section 2 of Moore and Smith [8]. It is also noted in [8] that the E_2 -term is isomorphic to

$$\text{Cotor}_{\Delta}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2) \otimes \text{Cotor}_{\Lambda(y_{2^s-1})}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2).$$

For the sake of notational simplicity, let

$$A = H^*(\text{Spin}(n); \mathbb{F}_2)$$

and

$$B = H^*(SO(n); \mathbb{F}_2).$$

Firstly, we collect some results on $\text{Cotor}_B(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$ and the Rothenberg–Steenrod spectral sequence for the mod 2 cohomology of $BSO(n)$. As an algebra, B is generated by x_i with the relations $x_i^2 = x_{2i}$ where $x_i = 0$ for $i \geq n$. As a coalgebra, x_i ($i = 1, \dots, n-1$) are primitive and B is primitively generated. So, the cotorsion product

$\text{Cotor}_B(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$ is a polynomial algebra $\mathbb{F}_2[w_2, \dots, w_n]$ where w_{k+1} is represented by $[x_k] \in \text{Cotor}_B^{1,k}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$. It is also clear that the Rothenberg–Steenrod spectral sequence collapses at the E_2 -level and hence we have $H^*(BSO(n); \mathbb{F}_2) = \mathbb{F}_2[w_2, \dots, w_n]$, where, by abuse of notation, we denote by w_{k+1} the element in $H^*(BSO(n); \mathbb{F}_2)$ represented by

$$w_{k+1} \in E_\infty^{1,k} = E_2^{1,k} = \text{Cotor}_B^{1,k}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2).$$

Let $v_0 = w_2 \in \text{Cotor}_B(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$. For $1 \leq k \leq s-1$, let

$$v_k = \underbrace{\text{Sq}^0 \cdots \text{Sq}^0}_{k\text{-times}} v_0 \in \text{Cotor}_B(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2).$$

By the unstable condition in [Theorem 4.1](#), we have $v_k = w_{2^{k+1}}$.

Let

$$v_s = \sum_{i+j=2^{s-1}} w_{2i+1} w_{2j},$$

where we assume that $i, j \geq 0$ and $w_0 = w_1 = 0$ and $w_i = 0$ for $i > n$. We define an element v_{s+k} in $\text{Cotor}_B(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$ for $k \geq 1$ by

$$v_{s+k} = \text{Sq}^{2^{k-1}} \cdots \text{Sq}^1 v_s.$$

Let

$$R = \mathbb{F}_2[w_2, \dots, w_n]/(v_0, \dots, v_{s-1})$$

be the polynomial ring generated by variables w_k where k ranges over the set E . This is isomorphic to the cotorsion product $\text{Cotor}_\Delta(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$.

We have the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1

- (1) The polynomial v_{2s-t+1} is zero in R .
- (2) If $\varepsilon = 0$, then the polynomial v_{2s-t} is also zero in R .

Proof Suppose that $w_i w_j$ is a nonzero term in v_s . By definition, it is easy to see that both i and j are in D . By the unstable condition and by the Cartan formula in [Theorem 4.1](#) for $k \geq 1$, we have

$$\text{Sq}^{2^{k-1}} \cdots \text{Sq}^1 w_i w_j = w_i^{2^k} w_{2^k(j-1)+1} + w_{2^k(i-1)+1} w_j^{2^k}.$$

By [Proposition 2.3](#), we have

$$\text{Sq}^{2^{k-1}} \cdots \text{Sq}^1 w_i w_j = 0$$

in the case $k \geq s-t$ or in the case $\varepsilon = 0$ and $k = s-t-1$. □

To prove [Theorem 1.2](#), we need the following result.

Proposition 5.2 *If $n \geq 9$ and if $n \neq 2^{s-1} + 1$, then the sequence $v_s, \dots, v_{h'-1}$ is a regular sequence in R .*

Proof Firstly, we deal with the case $10 \leq n \leq 16$. In this case, $s = 4$ and we have

$$v_4 = w_7w_{10} + w_6w_{11} + w_4w_{13}, \quad v_5 = w_{13}w_{10}^2 + w_{11}^3 + w_7w_{13}^2, \quad v_6 = w_{13}^5,$$

where $w_i = 0$ for $n < i \leq 16$. We consider the degree reverse lexicographic order such that

$$w_4 > w_6 > w_7 > w_8 > w_{10} > w_{11} > w_{12} > w_{13} > w_{14} > w_{15} > w_{16}.$$

For $n = 13, 14, 15, 16$, we have $t = 1$ and $h' = 7$ and the leading terms of v_4, v_5, v_6 are $w_7w_{10}, w_{11}^3, w_{13}^5$, respectively. So, by [Lemma 3.4](#), we have the desired result. For $n = 11, 12$, we have $t = 2, h' = 6$ and the leading terms of $v_4 = w_7w_{10} + w_6w_{11}, v_5 = w_{11}^3$ are w_7w_{10}, w_{11}^3 , respectively. So, by [Lemma 3.4](#), we have the desired result. For $n = 10$, we have $t = 3, h' = 5$ and it is clear that the sequence $v_4 = w_7w_{10}$ is a regular sequence.

Next, we deal with the case $s \geq 5, n \neq 2^{s-1} + 1$. In order to use [Lemma 3.4](#), we need to define the term order on the set of monomials in R as follows: Suppose that

$$x = w_{\sigma(0)}^{i_0} \cdots w_{\sigma(n-s-2)}^{i_{n-s-2}}, \quad y = w_{\sigma(0)}^{j_0} \cdots w_{\sigma(n-s-2)}^{j_{n-s-2}}.$$

We define the weight of x by

$$w(x) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{s-t+\varepsilon-1} i_\ell.$$

We say $x > y$ if

- (1) $w(x) > w(y)$ or
- (2) $w(x) = w(y)$ and there is an integer k such that $i_\ell = j_\ell$ for $\ell < k$ and $i_k > j_k$.

Since $2^k(2^s - \sigma(\ell)) + 1 > n$ for $\ell < k$, we have $w_{2^k(2^s - \sigma(\ell)) + 1} = 0$ for $\ell < k$. So, we obtain

$$v_{s+k} \equiv \sum_{\ell=k}^{s-t+\varepsilon-1} w_{\sigma(\ell)}^{2^k} w_{2^k(2^s - \sigma(\ell)) + 1}$$

modulo terms with weight less than 2^k . The leading terms of $v_s, \dots, v_{2s-t-1}$ are $w_{\sigma(0)}w_{\tau(0)}, \dots, w_{\sigma(s-t-1)}^{2^{s-t-1}}w_{\tau(s-t-1)}$ and the leading term of v_{2s-t} is $w_m^{2^{s-t}}w_{m'}$ if $\varepsilon = 1$. By [Proposition 2.4](#), we have

$$\gcd(w_{\sigma(k)}^{2^k}w_{\tau(k)}, w_{\sigma(k')}^{2^{k'}}w_{\tau(k')}) = 1$$

for $k \neq k' \in C_0$ and, by [Proposition 2.5](#), we have

$$\gcd(w_{\sigma(k)}^{2^k} w_{\tau(k)}, w_m^{2^{s-t}} w_{m'}) = 1$$

for $k \in C_0$ when $\varepsilon = 1$. Therefore, by [Lemma 3.4](#), we have that the sequence $v_s, \dots, v_{2s-t+\varepsilon-1}$ is a regular sequence. $\square$

By abuse of notation, we identify the above

$$R = H^*(BSO(n); \mathbb{F}_2) / (v_0, \dots, v_{s-1}) = \text{Cotor}_\Delta(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$$

with the image of

$$B\pi^*: H^*(BSO(n); \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow H^*(B\text{Spin}(n); \mathbb{F}_2)$$

and with $E_2^{*,0}$ in the change-of-rings spectral sequence. Thus, we have

$$E_2^{*,*} = R \otimes \mathbb{F}_2[\zeta],$$

where $\zeta \in E_2^{0,1}$ is the element represented by $[y_{2^s-1}]$. Now, we complete the proof of [Theorem 1.2](#).

Proof of Theorem 1.2 Let us consider the cobar resolution

$$\bar{A} \xrightarrow{d} \bar{A} \otimes \bar{A} \xrightarrow{d} \bar{A} \otimes \bar{A} \otimes \bar{A} \rightarrow \dots$$

It is clear that

$$d(y_{2^s-1}) = \sum_{i+j=2^s-1} x_{2i} \otimes x_{2j-1}$$

and so the element

$$v_s = \sum_{i+j=2^s-1} w_{2i+1} w_{2j}$$

is zero in $\text{Cotor}_A(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$. Therefore, $v_s \in E_2^{2,0}$ is equal to $d_2(\zeta)$. Hence, by [Theorem 4.2](#), we have that both $\text{Sq}^{2^{k-1}} \dots \text{Sq}^1 \zeta \in E_2^{0,2^k}$ and $\text{Sq}^{2^{k-1}} \dots \text{Sq}^1 d_2 \zeta \in E_2^{2^k+1,0}$ survive to the E_{2^k+1} -term and

$$d_{2^k+1} \text{Sq}^{2^{k-1}} \dots \text{Sq}^1 \zeta = \text{Sq}^{2^{k-1}} \dots \text{Sq}^1 d_2 \zeta \in E_{2^k+1}^{0,2^k}.$$

For $k = 1, \dots, h' - s - 1$, we have, by the unstable condition,

$$\text{Sq}^{2^{k-1}} \dots \text{Sq}^1 \zeta = \zeta^{2^k}$$

and, by definition,

$$\text{Sq}^{2^{k-1}} \dots \text{Sq}^1 d_2 \zeta = v_{s+k}.$$

Since $v_s, \dots, v_{h'-1}$ is a regular sequence in R and since $E_2 = R \otimes \mathbb{F}_2[\zeta]$, we have, for $k = 1, \dots, h' - s - 1$,

$$E_{2^{k+1}} = \cdots = E_{2^{k-1}+2} = R/(v_s, \dots, v_{s+k-1}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_2[\zeta^{2^k}].$$

Moreover, we have

$$E_\infty = E_{2^{h'-s-1}+2} = R/(v_s, \dots, v_{h'-1}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_2[\zeta^{2^{h'-s}}].$$

It is clear that an algebra homomorphism

$$\varphi: H^*(BSO(n); \mathbb{F}_2) \otimes \mathbb{F}_2[z'] \rightarrow H^*(BSpin(n); \mathbb{F}_2)$$

defined by $\varphi(w_k \otimes 1) = B\pi^*(w_k)$ and $\varphi(1 \otimes z') = z''$, where z'' represents $\zeta^{2^{h'-s}} \in E_\infty^{0, 2^{h'-s}}$, induces an isomorphism

$$R \otimes \mathbb{F}_2[z']/(v_s \otimes 1, \dots, v_{h'-1} \otimes 1) \rightarrow \text{Cotor}_A(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2).$$

So there is no extension problem and it completes the proof of [Theorem 1.2](#). $\square$

References

- [1] **W W Adams, P Lounstaunau**, *An introduction to Gröbner bases*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 3, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1994) [MR1287608](#)
- [2] **D Eisenbud**, *Commutative algebra*, with a view toward algebraic geometry, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 150, Springer, New York (1995) [MR1322960](#)
- [3] **M Kameko, M Mimura**, *On the Rothenberg-Steenrod spectral sequence for the mod 3 cohomology of the classifying space of the exceptional Lie group E_8* , from: “Proceedings of the Nishida Fest (Kinosaki 2003)”, (M Ando, N Minami, J Morava, W S Wilson, editors), Geom. Topol. Monogr. 10 (2007) 213–226
- [4] **K Kuribayashi, M Mimura, T Nishimoto**, *Twisted tensor products related to the cohomology of the classifying spaces of loop groups*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 180 (2006) vi+85 [MR2203859](#)
- [5] **J P May**, *A general algebraic approach to Steenrod operations*, from: “The Steenrod Algebra and its Applications (Proc. Conf. to Celebrate N E Steenrod’s Sixtieth Birthday, Battelle Memorial Inst, Columbus, Ohio, 1970)”, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 168, Springer, Berlin (1970) 153–231 [MR0281196](#)
- [6] **M Mimura**, *Homotopy theory of Lie groups*, from: “Handbook of algebraic topology”, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1995) 951–991 [MR1361904](#)
- [7] **M Mimura, H Toda**, *Topology of Lie groups. I, II*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs 91, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1991) [MR1122592](#) Translated from the 1978 Japanese edition by the authors

- [8] **J C Moore, L Smith**, *Hopf algebras and multiplicative fibrations. II*, Amer. J. Math. 90 (1968) 1113–1150 [MR0238323](#)
- [9] **D Quillen**, *The mod 2 cohomology rings of extra-special 2-groups and the spinor groups*, Math. Ann. 194 (1971) 197–212 [MR0290401](#)
- [10] **D C Ravenel**, *Complex cobordism and stable homotopy groups of spheres*, Pure and Applied Mathematics 121, Academic Press, Orlando, FL (1986) [MR860042](#)
- [11] **W M Singer**, *Steenrod squares in spectral sequences*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 129, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2006) [MR2245560](#)

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Regional Science, Toyama University of International Studies, 65-1 Higashikuromaki, Toyama, 930-1292, Japan

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Okayama University, 3-1-1 Tsushima-naka, Okayama, 700-8530, Japan

kameko@tuins.ac.jp, mimura@math.okayama-u.ac.jp

Received: 31 May 2006 Revised: 20 August 2007